

The Biological Model Equations

The biological model consists of nine compartments describing small phytoplankton ($S1$), diatoms ($S2$), microzooplankton ($Z1$), mesozooplankton ($Z2$), nonliving particulate organic nitrogen (DN), nonliving particulate organic silicate (DSI), dissolved silicate ($Si(OH)_4$), and two forms of dissolved inorganic nitrogen: nitrate (NO_3) and ammonium (NH_4). Figure 1 depicts the inter-compartment flows of the ecosystem. Nine equations describing each compartment all take the form:

$$\text{Eq. 1} \quad \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} = PHYSICS(C_i) + BIOLOGY(C_i) \quad i = 1, \dots, 9$$

(For example, $C_1=NO_3$, $C_2=NH_4$, and so on). The term $PHYSICS(C_i)$ represents the contribution to the concentration change due to physical processes, including vertical advection and eddy diffusion.

$$\text{Eq. 2} \quad PHYSICS(C_i) = \underbrace{-w \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial z}}_{\text{advection}} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(A_{T_v} \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial z} \right)}_{\text{eddy diffusivity}}$$

Here w is vertical velocity, and A_{T_v} is vertical eddy diffusion coefficient. The values for w and A_{T_v} are the area-averaged over 5°S-5°N and 90°W-180° (the Wyrki Box) annual mean upwelling velocity and vertical eddy diffusion, which are obtained from the simulations of the three-dimensional ocean circulation model in the region (see Chai et al. 1997 for details). The term $BIOLOGY(C_i)$ represents biological sources and sinks of that compartment. All parameters used in the calculation of $BIOLOGY(C_i)$ are defined in Table 1. In the euphotic zone (the upper 120 m), the biological terms, $BIOLOGY(C_i)$, are:

$$\text{Eq. 3} \quad \text{BIOLOGY}(\text{NO}_3) = \underbrace{-\text{NPS1}}_{\text{NO}_3 \text{ update by S1}} \quad \underbrace{-(\text{NPS2} - \text{RPS2})}_{\text{NO}_3 \text{ update by S2}}$$

$$\text{Eq. 4} \quad \text{BIOLOGY}(\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4) = \underbrace{-\text{NPS2}}_{\text{Si}(\text{OH})_4 \text{ update by S2}}$$

$$\text{Eq. 5} \quad \text{BIOLOGY}(\text{NH}_4) = \underbrace{-\text{RPS1}}_{\text{NH}_4 \text{ update by S1}} \quad \underbrace{-\text{RPS2}}_{\text{NH}_4 \text{ update by S2}} \quad \underbrace{+\text{reg}_1 \text{Z1} + \text{reg}_2 \text{Z2}}_{\text{NH}_4 \text{ regeneration by Z1 and Z2}}$$

$\text{reg}_1 = 0.2 \text{ day}^{-1}$ (microzooplankton excretion rate to ammonium)
 $\text{reg}_2 = 0.1 \text{ day}^{-1}$ (mesozooplankton excretion rate to ammonium)

$$\text{Eq. 6} \quad \text{BIOLOGY}(\text{S1}) = \underbrace{(\text{NPS1} + \text{RPS1})}_{\text{total production by S1}} \quad \underbrace{-\text{G}_1}_{\text{grazing by Z1}}$$

$$\text{Eq. 7} \quad \text{BIOLOGY}(\text{S2}) = \underbrace{2\text{NPS2}}_{\text{production by S2}} \quad \underbrace{-2\text{G}_2}_{\text{grazing by S2}} \quad \underbrace{-2\partial(w_1 \text{S2})/\partial z}_{\text{S2 sinking}}$$

$w_1 = 1.0 \text{ m day}^{-1}$ (diatoms sinking speed)

Note: all the source and sink terms are counted twice here in order to reflect both nitrogen and silicate uptake by diatoms, nitrogen to silicate ratio is 1 to 1 in diatoms.

$$\text{Eq. 8} \quad \text{BIOLOGY}(\text{Z1}) = \underbrace{\text{G}_1}_{\text{grazing on S1}} \quad \underbrace{-\text{G}_3}_{\text{predation by Z2}} \quad \underbrace{-\text{reg}_1 \text{Z1}}_{\text{NH}_4 \text{ regeneration}}$$

$$\text{Eq. 9} \quad \text{BIOLOGY}(\text{Z2}) = \underbrace{(\text{G}_2 + \text{G}_3 + \text{G}_4)}_{\text{total grazing by Z2}} \quad \underbrace{-(1 - \gamma_1)(\text{G}_2 + \text{G}_3 + \text{G}_4)}_{\text{detritus-N prod. from Z2}} \quad \underbrace{-\text{reg}_2 \text{Z2}}_{\text{NH}_4 \text{ reg.}} \quad \underbrace{-\gamma_2 \text{Z2}^2}_{\text{loss}}$$

$\gamma_1 = 0.75$ (mesozooplankton assimilation efficiency)

$\gamma_2 = 0.05 \text{ (mmol m}^{-3}\text{)}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ (mesozooplankton specific mortality rate)

Note: the fecal pellet production of silicate by Z2 equals to the grazing on diatoms by Z2, which is G_2 , two terms cancel each other in the equation (9). In the sense, the Z2 component just passes the silicate from the diatoms directly to the detritus-Si pool.

$$\text{Eq. 10} \quad \text{BIOLOGY}(\text{DN}) = \underbrace{(1 - \gamma_1)(\text{G}_2 + \text{G}_3 + \text{G}_4)}_{\text{detritus-N prod. by Z2}} \quad \underbrace{-\text{G}_4}_{\text{grazing by Z2}} \quad \underbrace{-\partial(w_2 \text{DN})/\partial z}_{\text{sinking}}$$

$w_2 = 10.0 \text{ m day}^{-1}$ (detritus sinking speed)

$$\text{Eq. 11} \quad \text{BIOLOGY}(\text{DSI}) = \underbrace{\text{G}_2}_{\text{detritus-Si prod.}} \quad \underbrace{-\partial(w_2 \text{DSI})/\partial z}_{\text{sinking}}$$

Growth and grazing functions can be written as follows:

$$\text{Eq. 12} \quad \text{NPS1} = \mu I_{\max} \underbrace{\frac{\text{NO}_3 / (\text{K}_{\text{NO}_3} + \text{NO}_3)}{\text{NO}_3 \text{ regulation}}}_{\text{NO}_3 \text{ regulation}} \underbrace{e^{-\psi \text{NH}_4}}_{\text{NH}_4 \text{ inhibition}} \underbrace{(1 - e^{-\alpha I / \mu I_{\max}})}_{\text{light regulation}} \text{S1}$$

$\mu I_{max} = 2.0 \text{ day}^{-1}$ (maximum specific growth rate of small phytoplankton)
 $\psi = 5.59 \text{ (mmol m}^{-3}\text{)}^{-1}$ (ammonium inhibition parameter)
 $K_{no3} = 0.5 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$ (half-saturation for nitrate uptake by S1)
 $\alpha = 0.025 \text{ (W m}^{-2}\text{)}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ (initial slope of P-I curve)

I is the irradiance, and is given by:

$$\text{Eq. 13} \quad I(z, t) = I_o(t) e^{-k_1 z - k_2 \int_{-z}^0 (S1+S2) dz}$$

$k_1 = 0.046 \text{ m}^{-1}$ (light attenuation due to water)
 $k_2 = 0.03 \text{ m}^{-1}$ (light attenuation by small phytoplankton and diatoms)

$I_o(t)$ is the surface irradiance, and during a 24 hour period, takes the form:

$$\text{Eq. 14} \quad I_o(t) = \begin{cases} I_o^{Noon} \sin\left(\frac{t-6}{12} \pi\right) & (\text{from 6 am to 6 pm}) \\ 0 & (\text{from 6 pm to 6 am}) \end{cases}$$

$I_o^{Noon} = 410 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ (annual averaged noontime averaged surface irradiance)

$$\text{Eq. 15} \quad RPS1 = \mu I_{max} \underbrace{NH_4 / (K_{NH_4} + NH_4)}_{NH_4 \text{ regulation}} \underbrace{(1 - e^{-\alpha I / \mu I_{max}})}_{\text{light regulation}} S1$$

$K_{NH4} = 0.05 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$ (half-saturation for ammonium uptake by S1)

$$\text{Eq. 16} \quad NPS2 = \mu 2_{max} \underbrace{Si(OH)_4 / (K_{Si(OH)_4} + Si(OH)_4)}_{Si(OH)_4 \text{ regulation}} \underbrace{(1 - e^{-\alpha I / \mu I_{max}})}_{\text{light regulation}} S2$$

$\mu 2_{max} = 1.5 \text{ day}^{-1}$ (maximum specific growth rate of diatoms)
 $K_{Si(OH)4} = 3.0 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$ (half-saturation for $Si(OH)_4$ uptake by S2)

$$\text{Eq. 17} \quad RPS2 = \mu 2_{max} \underbrace{NH_4 / (K_{S2-NH_4} + NH_4)}_{NH_4 \text{ regulation}} \underbrace{(1 - e^{-\alpha I / \mu I_{max}})}_{\text{light regulation}} S2$$

$K_{S2-NH4} = 1.0 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$ (Half-saturation for ammonium uptake by diatoms)

$$\text{Eq. 18} \quad G_1 = GI_{max} \underbrace{S1 / (KI_{gr} + S1)}_{\text{food regulation}} \underbrace{S1 / S1_{ave}}_{\text{depth modification}} ZI$$

$GI_{max} = 1.25 \text{ day}^{-1}$ (microzooplankton maximum growth rate)
 $KI_{gr} = 0.5 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$ (half-saturation for micro zooplankton ingestion)

$S1_{ave}$ is depth averaged (within the euphotic zone) small phytoplankton, which is defined as:

$$\text{Eq. 19} \quad S1_{ave} = \frac{1}{z'} \int_{-z'}^0 S1 dz$$

I found that the predator-prey oscillation could be reduced significantly in the model by modifying the grazing functions with terms SI/SI_{ave} . The z' is the depth of euphotic zone, and is set to 120 m in the model.

$$\text{Eq. 20} \quad G_2 = G2_{max} \frac{\zeta_1 S2}{K2_{gr} + \zeta_1 S2 + \zeta_2 ZI + \zeta_3 DN} Z2$$

$$\text{Eq. 21} \quad G_3 = G2_{max} \frac{\zeta_2 ZI}{K2_{gr} + \zeta_1 S2 + \zeta_2 ZI + \zeta_3 DN} Z2$$

$$\text{Eq. 22} \quad G_4 = G2_{max} \frac{\zeta_3 DN}{K2_{gr} + \zeta_1 S2 + \zeta_2 ZI + \zeta_3 DN} Z2$$

$G2_{max} = 0.5 \text{ day}^{-1}$ (mesozooplankton maximum growth rate)

$K2_{gr} = 0.25 \text{ mmol m}^{-3}$ (half-saturation for mesozooplankton ingestion)

ζ_1 , ζ_2 , and ζ_3 are the preferences for a given food type, and defined as following:

$$\text{Eq. 23} \quad \zeta_1 = \frac{\rho_1 SI}{\rho_1 SI + \rho_2 ZI + \rho_3 DN}$$

$$\zeta_2 = \frac{\rho_2 ZI}{\rho_1 SI + \rho_2 ZI + \rho_3 DN}$$

$$\zeta_3 = \frac{\rho_3 DN}{\rho_1 SI + \rho_2 ZI + \rho_3 DN}$$

$$\rho_1 = 0.7, \quad \rho_2 = 0.2, \quad \rho_3 = 0.1$$

Values of the parameters used in the standard experiment are given in Table 1. Selection of the parameters is primarily based upon four major sources: 1) papers by Fasham *et al.* (1990), Sarmiento *et al.* (1993), and Chai *et al.* (1996); 2) observational data in the equatorial Pacific (Dugdale and Goering, 1967; Dugdale *et al.* 1991; Barber and Chavez, 1991; Minas *et al.* 1986; Peña *et al.* 1991); 3) data from the 1992 EqPac cruises (Barber *et al.* 1995; Lindley *et al.* 1995; Landry *et al.* 1995; Murray *et al.* 1994); and 4) historical literature. The sources of the parameters are also given in Table 1.